326M Child Find Model Demonstration

Mary Beth Bruder, University of Connecticut UCEDD

Bethanne Vergean, University of Connecticut UCEDD



2023 OSEP LEADERSHIP AND PROJECT DIRECTORS' CONFERENCE



2023 OSEP Leadership and Project Directors' Conference



DISCLAIMER:

The contents of this presentation were developed by the presenters for the 2023 OSEP Conference. However, these contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1221e-3 and 3474)

The contents of this presentation and any supplemental documents were developed under a grants from the U.S. Department of Education, Grant #s H326M210002, H326M210007, H326M210011. However, these contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the U.S. Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.

Project Officer: Yolanda Lusane / Yolanda.Lusane@ed.gov



Three Child Find Model Demonstration Projects

Connecticut Family Support, Tracking and Referral System (CT Family STARS)

Child Find ACCESS: Advancing Community-Centered, Equity-Focused Child Find Systems and Supports

Collective Impact Model for IDEA Part C Child Find (CIM-C)

Connecticut Family Support, Tracking And Referral System (CT Family Stars)

UCONN Center for Excellence in Developmental Disabilities and Connecticut Office of Early Childhood

Purpose: Build state and community capacity to develop, demonstrate, evaluate, and replicate an interagency system to track, identify, screen, refer or monitor infants and toddlers at risk for, or having a delay or disability in CT.



Model Elements

- Implement Integrated data system across state systems for tracking and screening children from birth, or system entry
- Use Ages and Stages Questionnaire to identify and monitor children's development using a mobile app called Sparkler (and other options for families)
- Parent to Parent outreach to increase parent/caregiver participation in the tracking, identification, screening, referral or monitoring, and evaluation of services for their child

2023 OSEP LEADERSHIP AND PROJECT DIRECTORS' CONFERE



Implementation Phases

Phase One • To collect data on current systems for **Exploration** tracking young children (year 1) • To plan a demonstration with Phase Two state and local stakeholders (Year Installation 1) Phase Three • To implement a local demonstration (Year 2) **Implementation** • To validate and adapt **Phase Four** demonstration practices To Standardization other sites (Year 3-4) • To adopt and implement tracking, Phase Five identification, Institutionalization screening, and referral/monitor



model in CT (Year 4-5)

Phase 1: Exploration

Focus Groups

- Birth to Three Providers
- Families receiving Early Intervention
- Families not eligible for Early Intervention
- Families with no development concerns

Survey

- Pediatricians
- Child Care



Families never referred to Birth to Three (n=20)

12 African American 5 Latinx and 2 Asian (southeast) Children ages 6 month to 2 years Focus groups

- 20 families feel their pediatrician listens to their developmental concerns if they have any.
- 18 families say their pediatrician asks them questions on their child's development
- 16 families said their child has had a formal screening.
- Only 4 of families said the pediatrician conducted this screening.
- 2 of families said that the pediatrician completed the screening.
- 13 of families said their child does not attend an Early Childhood Program.
- 18 of families said they were not familiar with 211 Child Development Infoline.



Families Received Birth to Three Services *n*=15 12 African American (80%) 3 White (20%) Children age 9 mo to 4 yrs 3 Focus Groups

- 12 parents had a referral from a pediatrician
- 3 parents contacted 211 after teacher concerns.

(None of parents identified a developmental screening was administered).

- 15 parents had an assessment with a team conducted in the home or child-care with at least 2 service delivery providers from different backgrounds such as a speech and language therapist and an early childhood special education teacher.
- 11 of parents felt B23 staff ask for their input during the assessment.
- 15 parents said the results from the assessment was shared with them.
- 13 parents said the B23 staff review the IFSP process
 - 2 parents stated they were confused by the acronyms and can not remember



Continued

- 7 parents said overall process identified and assessed for early intervention was positive.
- 8 parents felt the overall process of identification and then assessed for eligibility for early intervention services was hard.
 - The process was hard because the timeline in between treatment and receiving test results was more than 45 plus days in between each.
 - Parents feel like providers need to be more engaged with the children, need more service time. The staff is excellent with the children once they were in the home.
 - Parents wished they knew about the other B-3 organizations.



Families not eligible Birth to Three Services *n*=13 8 African American (80%) 5 Latinx (20%) Children age 9 months to 2 years 3 Focus Groups

- 11 parents had a referral from a pediatrician 2 parents contacted 211 after they had concerns
- 13 parents had assessment schedule with Birth to Three; however was not eligible services
- 7 parents were still concerned about your child's development
- 10 parents were not aware that if they still had concerns they can refer by calling
 211
- 8 parents stated they would find it helpful if more resources were provided after not being eligible for services
- 6 parents stated they would like a follow up from a partner agency.
- 6 parents signed up for Sparkler and completes ASQ.



Birth to Three Providers (n=12)

(n=12) 12 (100%) White

- 7 said their role in Early Intervention was as a Special Education Teacher tied with 5 who said they were Occupational Therapists.
- 8 said office coordinator/intake coordinator/secretary/program coordinator/office directors get the referral in their agency for a new child/family. of respondents said they did receive a screening score.
- 3 said they know who completed the screening and 9 said they don't know who completed the screening.



- 8 of the providers have a plain written protocol to use when meeting families at an intake or evaluation that includes eligibility for services and what that means.
- 8 of respondents have a plain language written protocol to use when meeting families at an intake of evaluation that includes the IFSP and what that means.

Phase Two: Installation



Convene a state steering committee.



Finalize the local demonstration site as Hartford.



Collect information about current identification, screening, referral and tracking procedures in Hartford.



Convene a group of state and local stakeholders to develop a strategic plan to implement a community demonstration on identification, screening, referral and tracking children age birth to 3.



Phase Two: Installation

- Hartford Demo site: Strategic Planning
- 4 Workgroups
 - Response and Referral (Parent to Parent)
 - Screening and Tracking
 - Data Integration
 - Workforce
- Parent to Parent
 - Parent to implement screening through parent and provider applications of Ages and Stages
 - Parent to Parent Model with CT PATH
 - Parent to Parent Coordinator



Resource Spotlight

- CT Office of Early Childhood / Birth to Three Services <u>https://www.ctoec.org/birth-to-three/</u>
- UConn Center on Excellence in Disabilities https://uconnucedd.org/
- PATH https://pathct.org/

