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► Welcome
► MSIP Director Updates
► DMS 2.0
  • Cohort 1 and Cohort 2 Announcement
  • DMS Monitoring Teams and Timelines
  • DMS Protocols and Phase 1 Mapping
  • DMS Phase 2
  • DMS Historical Findings
► National TA Center DMS Resources
► Overview of Return to Roadmap Documents
# DMS 2.0 Cohort 1 & 2 Monitoring Schedule

**Monitoring Schedule**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TEAM A</th>
<th>TEAM B</th>
<th>TEAM C</th>
<th>TEAM D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cohort 1 2021</strong></td>
<td>KY-B</td>
<td>KY-C</td>
<td>SC-B</td>
<td>MI-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AR-B</td>
<td>AR-C</td>
<td>NV-B</td>
<td>NV-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cohort 2 2022</strong></td>
<td>ND-B</td>
<td>ND-C</td>
<td>ME-B</td>
<td>ME-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AL-B</td>
<td>DC-C</td>
<td>AS-B</td>
<td>AS-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IA-B</td>
<td>IA-C</td>
<td>TN-B</td>
<td>TN-C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[https://sites.ed.gov/idea/grantees/#DMS](https://sites.ed.gov/idea/grantees/#DMS)
This month, OSEP has held calls with Cohort 1 States to schedule the Phase 2 activities.

Each Cohort will be notified of their Phase 2 month using the following model:

- **Cohort 2**: Official notification letters were sent October 2021 visit dates will be set in **October 2022**
- **Cohort 3**: Official notification letters will be sent October 2022 visit dates will be set in **October 2023**
- **Cohort 4**: Official notification letters will be sent October 2023 visit dates will be set in **October 2024**
- **Cohort 5**: Official notification letters will be sent in October 2024 visit dates will be set in **October 2025**
Onsite Visit Scheduled for May 2022

Phase 1
- **December 2021**: OSEP Document Request (5 months before visit)
- **January 2022-April 2022**: Engage in interviews and calls with OSEP monitoring team (4 Months before visit)

Phase 2
- **May 2022**: On-site/virtual visit
- **June 2022-September 2022**: Develop and Issue Monitoring Letter (120 Days after on-site/virtual visit)

Phase 3
- **September 2022-closeout**: Response to OSEP findings and closeout activities
**DMS 2.0 Timeline May Visit**

**Cohort 1**

- **October**
  - On-site/Virtual Visit Scheduled for Cohort 1 States

- **PHASE 1: Pre-site**
  - Document Request

- **PHASE 2: Visit**
  - On-site/Virtual State Engagement

- **September**
  - On-site/Virtual Visit Scheduled for Cohort 2 States
  - Issue Letter for **MAY** on-site/virtual visits

**Protocol Interviews**

- **Start**
- **OCT**
- **NOV**
- **DEC**
- **JAN**
- **FEB**
- **MAR**
- **APR**
- **MAY**
- **JUN**
- **JUL**
- **AUG**
- **SEP**
- **OCT**

- **5 Months Prior to Visit Phase 1**
- **4 Months Prior to Visit**
- **Phase 2**

**Phase 1** will occur during the 5 months prior to the visit (one month for document request, 4 months for protocol interviews)

**Phase 2** will occur from the on-site/virtual visit through the issuance of the monitoring report (120 days after visit)

**Phase 3** will occur up to one year after the issuance of the monitoring report.
States will work with MSIP to develop the schedule for the protocol interviews during the Phase 1.
Although TA&PD is a separate component, not unlike Implementation of Policies and procedures we see this crossing over all components.

We will not be posting a separate protocol for TA&PD, rather you will note questions related to training and professional development on the currently posted protocols.

In addition, we will follow-up during Phase 2 with any specific TA & PD needs that come out of the Phase 1 discussions.
DMS 2.0 Protocols

https://sites.ed.gov/idea/grantees/#DMS

General Resources
- DMS 2.0 Framework with Evidence and Intended Outcomes (PDF)

Fiscal
- Fiscal Part C SLOR Introduction (PDF)
- Fiscal Part C SLOR Protocol (Word)
- Fiscal Part B Subrecipient Monitoring Protocol (PDF)

General Supervision
- Parts B and C — Integrated Monitoring Protocol (Word)
- Parts B and C — Sustaining Compliance and Improvement Protocol (Word)
- Parts B and C — Data and SEP/APS Protocol (Word)

Dispute Resolution
- Parts B and C — Dispute Resolution — State Complaint (Word)
- Parts B and C — Dispute Resolution — Due Process (Word)
- Parts B and C — Dispute Resolution — Mediation (Word)

Monitoring Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEAM A</th>
<th>TEAM B</th>
<th>TEAM C</th>
<th>TEAM D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KY-C</td>
<td>KY-B</td>
<td>SC-B</td>
<td>CO-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-C</td>
<td>AR-B</td>
<td>NV-B</td>
<td>MT-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY-C</td>
<td>KY-B</td>
<td>SC-B</td>
<td>CO-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-C</td>
<td>AR-B</td>
<td>NV-B</td>
<td>MT-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY-B</td>
<td>KY-C</td>
<td>SC-B</td>
<td>CO-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-C</td>
<td>AR-B</td>
<td>NV-B</td>
<td>MT-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY-C</td>
<td>KY-B</td>
<td>SC-B</td>
<td>CO-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-C</td>
<td>AR-B</td>
<td>NV-B</td>
<td>MT-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY-C</td>
<td>KY-B</td>
<td>SC-B</td>
<td>CO-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-C</td>
<td>AR-B</td>
<td>NV-B</td>
<td>MT-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY-C</td>
<td>KY-B</td>
<td>SC-B</td>
<td>CO-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-C</td>
<td>AR-B</td>
<td>NV-B</td>
<td>MT-B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Phase 2 Monitoring Overview

- Phase 2 Monitoring will likely be hybrid of on-site and virtual activities, depending upon health conditions and needs of State.

- Phase 2 agenda will be customized to
  - Examine implementation of policies and procedures for components from Phase 1
  - Address concerns identified in Phase 1
  - Delve deep into additional areas determined on a State-by State basis.
Past DMS Findings Highlight- Part C

- Single Line of Responsibility

- States unable to demonstrate a fiscal monitoring system or effective controls to ensure compliance with fiscal regulations

- State’s general supervision system was not reasonably designed to identify or verify correction of noncompliance

- States did not have procedures and practices that were reasonably designed to implement the dispute resolution requirements of IDEA.

https://sites.ed.gov/idea/grantees/#DMS
Past DMS Findings Highlight- Part B

- Subrecipient Monitoring
- Programmatic Monitoring
- LEA Allocations
- Dispute Resolution
  - Hearing Timelines
  - Resolution Session Timelines
  - Ensuring Correction

https://sites.ed.gov/idea/grantees/#DMS
TA Center Resources: Part B (NCSI)

- Results-Based Accountability and Support Fast Fives
- Customizable General Supervision Templates
- A State Guide on Identifying, Correcting, and Reporting Noncompliance in Accordance with IDEA Requirements (February 2021)
- A State Guide on Identifying, Correcting, and Reporting Noncompliance with IDEA Requirements (Table)
- Reformatted DMS protocols for use in state planning
TA Center Resources: Part B Data (IDC)

- **SEA Data Processes Toolkit**: This collection of templates is used to document IDEA data collection and reporting procedures and activities.

- **LEA Data Processes Toolkit**: Provides a map for documenting data processes within local districts.

- **Data Meeting Toolkit**: The data meeting toolkit provides tools that groups can use to guide conversation around data and support databased decision making.

- **Enhanced Pre-submission Edit Check Tools**: These tools are used to check your data before you submit.

- **SPP/APR Resources**: A collection of resources to support SPP.APR planning and implementation.
TA Center Part B Support

▶ For more information and support, contact your state liaison and TA facilitator

**IDC:** [https://www.ideadata.org/technical-assistance](https://www.ideadata.org/technical-assistance)

**NCSI:** [https://ncsi-resources.wested.org/](https://ncsi-resources.wested.org/)
TA Center Resources: Part C (ECTA)

**NEW!** DMS 2.0 Webpage: ECTA Differentiated Monitoring and Support (DMS) 2.0

- General Supervision (Integrated Monitoring, Sustaining Compliance and Improvement, Data and SPP/APR) Protocol Worksheets
- Dispute Resolution (State Complaints, Due Process, Mediation) Protocol Worksheets
- A State Guide on Identifying, Correcting, and Reporting Noncompliance in Accordance with IDEA Requirements
- Improving Part C Results and Compliance: A Six-Step Inquiry Cycle
- General Supervision and Monitoring Procedures Outline or Checklist (Coming Soon)
- Fiscal Monitoring Indicators (Coming Soon)
**NEW!** DMS 2.0 Webpage: DaSy Differentiated Monitoring and Support (DMS) 2.0

- Target Setting Guide
- Part C Data Processes Toolkit
- Stakeholder Knowledge Toolkit: Building Knowledge about Data
- Data Culture Toolkit
- SPP/APR 101: What You Need to Know (Coming Soon)
TA Center Part C Support

- For more information and support, contact your state liaison and TA support contact

ECTA State Contact: https://ectacenter.org/contact/state-assignments.asp

DaSy State Liaison: https://dasycenter.org/technical-assistance/state-technical-assistance-liaisons/
Next Steps

- Cohort 1: Work with Monitoring Teams on establishing Phase 1 and Phase 2 (on-site/virtual) schedule
- Document Request will be prepared in December 2021 (May 2022 visit) and January 2022 (June 2022 visit)
- Our next DMS 2.0 Universal TA Call is scheduled for **11/18, 4PM**
  - Note the new date and time to account for the holiday
  - OSEP will provide information about component specific protocols
OSERS’ RETURN TO SCHOOL ROADMAP
The U.S. Department of Education’s Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services (OSERS) released a Q&A entitled the Return to School Roadmap: Development and Implementation of Individualized Education Programs in the Least Restrictive Environment under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

This second in a series of Q&As builds on the August 24 press release and demonstrates the Department’s continued commitment to support States to ensure they have the information necessary to carry out these important requirements and to make good use of the additional American Rescue Plan (ARP) funds to ensure the full implementation of IDEA requirements.
LEAs must ensure an IEP is in effect at the beginning of the school year for each child with a disability that provides FAPE – with heightened attention to consideration of special factors, including the child’s need for assistive technology services and devices, and social, emotional, behavioral, and mental health supports. See Questions A-1, C-1, C-3, and C-6.

IDEA provides some flexibility in making changes to a child’s IEP, including the excusal and amendment provisions. See Questions B-1 and B-2.
Critical Message – Compensatory Services

► IEP Teams have a critical role in making individualized decisions about each child’s present levels of academic achievement and functional performance and determining whether, and to what extent, compensatory services may be necessary to mitigate the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the child’s receipt of appropriate services. See Question D-4.
Critical Message – Compensatory Services

Factors IEP Teams can use when making compensatory services decisions. See **Question D-5:**

- The child’s present levels of academic achievement and functional performance.
- Previous rates of progress.
- Frequency and duration of special education and related services.

**NOTE:** In some circumstances, there may be controlling Federal or State law, including case law or specific SEA or LEA guidance that IEP Teams would be required to follow.
Some parents have specific health and safety concerns about sending their children back to school for in-person instruction.

Reopening schools safely is of utmost importance. SEAs and LEAs should put in place layered prevention strategies including promoting vaccination and universal and correct mask-wearing in schools. CDC recommends that everyone in K through 12 schools wear a mask indoors, including teachers, staff, students, and visitors, regardless of vaccination status.
In determining FAPE, the IEP Team is responsible for considering and addressing the school-related health needs of a child with a disability who is at increased risk of serious illness if they contract COVID-19. Likewise, the placement team must consider the child’s needs, based on the IEP, when determining an appropriate educational placement in the LRE. See Questions C-7 and C-8.
A State or local law, regulation, rule, or policy that prohibits or limits COVID-19 prevention and risk reduction strategies in the regular education classroom or other settings where the child with a disability could interact with nondisabled peers could be inconsistent with IDEA’s requirement to ensure a continuum of educational placements related to placement in the LRE. See Question C-10.

Addressing the school-related health needs of CWD for FAPE is a long-standing IDEA requirement and affirmed by the U.S. Supreme Court. See specifically, Irving ISD v. Tatro, 468 U.S. 883 (1984).

SEAs and OSEP have responsibility to ensure compliance that the school-related health needs of CWD are addressed. See Question C-11.
Critical Message – ESY and Compensatory Services

▶ A child’s entitlement to ESY services needed for FAPE continues to apply even if schools do not provide other educational services during school breaks.

▶ The specific analysis and standards that an IEP Team may use to determine whether a child requires ESY services in order to receive FAPE are left to States to determine.

▶ A child could be entitled to both ESY and compensatory services. These are two separate determinations, but both must be based on a child’s individual needs.

▶ These services have different standards and purposes.

▶ See Questions E-1 and E-2.
Critical Message – Supplementary Aids and Services

Definition:

- Aids, services, and other supports that are provided in regular education classes, other education-related settings, and in extracurricular and nonacademic settings, to enable children with disabilities to be educated with nondisabled children to the maximum extent appropriate in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.114 through 300.116. 34 C.F.R. § 300.42.

- Used throughout the document.
Each LEA must have an IEP in effect for each child with a disability within its jurisdiction that identifies and addresses all the child's individual needs in order to provide FAPE.

The LEA must ensure that each child with a disability has access to educational opportunities, including all special education and related services, necessary to receive FAPE.

Overall: The document uses the term for “LEAs” instead of “Public Agencies.”
Specific Details – IEPs in Effect at the Start of the School Year

- It will be important for LEAs and parents to consider whether there are circumstances, such as an IEP that was developed that includes special education and related services to be delivered solely through virtual instruction, that cannot be modified to reflect in-person services for the upcoming school year. In these circumstances, the IEP Team would need to convene as soon as possible to determine what revisions to the child's IEP are necessary to ensure FAPE.

- Many students will have new or additional needs that may not have existed the last time the IEP was developed, that may have been brought on as a result of or due to challenges experienced during the pandemic. In such cases, the IEP Team will need to reconvene to ensure that an IEP is developed that includes appropriate supports and services to address any new needs.
The document also restates OSEP’s long standing position on contingency planning. See Question A-3.

An IEP Team may implement a proactive strategy for preparedness in the event of future long-term school closures by developing a contingency plan. As part of a child’s annual IEP Team meeting, developing a contingency plan would address the provision of service delivery to account for virtual learning or hybrid instruction. See also Question A-4 in Questions and Answers on Providing Services to Children with Disabilities During an H1N1 Outbreak (Dec. 2009).
Two of the special factor considerations featured in the guidance are based on 34 C.F.R. § 300.324(a)(2):

- Whether the child needs assistive technology devices and services.
- For a child whose behavior impedes the child's learning or that of others, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and other strategies to address that behavior.
Specific Details – Assistive Technology

- Assistive technology includes both devices and services:
  - See definitions in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.5 and 300.6.

- On a case-by-case basis, the use of school-purchased assistive technology devices in a child's home or in other settings is required if the child's IEP Team determines that the child needs access to those devices in order to receive FAPE. 34 C.F.R. § 300.105.
Examples of types of services that can be provided:

- **Special education and related services** may include counseling services for mental health needs (e.g., anxiety, depression, etc.), social skill instruction, explicit reinforcement of positive behavior, and explicit instruction in stress, anxiety, and depression management.

- **Supplementary aids and services** may include consultation with a professional with expertise in behavioral interventions to create a positive behavioral support plan, access to counselors, and access to targeted strategies supported by peer-reviewed research to support social, emotional, behavioral, or mental health needs (e.g., anxiety scaling, mindfulness exercises).

See Question C-5.
Program modifications could include adapting a homework assignment or adjusting a reading passage to reflect the child’s reading comprehension level, while supports for school personnel may include training on additional positive behavioral supports and universal design for learning and access to consultation with related service providers and others with specialized expertise.

Also addresses steps IEP Teams can take when considering behavioral supports for children with disabilities as they return to in-person instruction.
Specific Details – Compensatory Services

- Generally, many of the same types of individualized and child-centered deliberations that are appropriate for an IEP Team meeting discussing the child’s IEP, would also be appropriate for an IEP Team to discuss when considering the need for, and extent of, compensatory services. See Question D-4.

- Examples of when compensatory services could be provided:
  - If there was a delay in the initial evaluation, eligibility determination, and identification, development and implementation of IEP for an eligible child.
  - If a student did not receive special education and related services that were appropriate to address all needs during the pandemic.
  - If some or all of a student’s IEP was not fully implemented as written during the pandemic.
  - If meaningful transition services were not provided during the pandemic.

  See Question D-6.
Specific Details – Compensatory Services

- Determinations about compensatory services may also apply to:
  - Transition services – early childhood and secondary.
  - Extended school year services.
  - Children who have exited special education.
  - Children who have moved to another jurisdiction within the State or another State.

- SEA, through its general supervisory responsibilities, must ensure that its LEAs take appropriate action to mitigate the adverse impact of any failure to provide appropriate services, such as lost skills and lack of progress, for children with disabilities.
States should provide technical assistance and conduct monitoring to ensure that FAPE is provided in the LRE. See 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.114(a), 300.119, and 300.120.

To the maximum extent appropriate, children with disabilities, including children in public or private institutions or other care facilities, are educated with children who are nondisabled, and that special classes, separate schooling, or other removal of children with disabilities from the regular educational environment occurs only if the nature or severity of the disability is such that education in regular classes with the use of supplementary aids and services cannot be achieved satisfactorily.
Specific Details – Making Educational Placements

- Generally, LEAs should not be providing virtual instruction to CWD when their non-disabled peers are receiving in-person instruction. See Question G-3.

- Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, for schools that did not offer virtual instruction to all children, special education and related services provided virtually in the child’s home was generally considered one of the most restrictive environments, as it typically provided little or no opportunity for the child to be educated with nondisabled peers. Virtual learning provided during the pandemic may be deemed less restrictive if it is available to all children and provides the child with a disability, meaningful opportunities to be educated and interact with nondisabled peers in the regular education environment.
Specific Details - Resolving Disagreements

▲ A parent may use the IDEA’s dispute resolution procedures to resolve disagreements with the IEP Team’s decision regarding compensatory services.

▲ It is important that parents review the State’s procedural safeguards notice to ensure they understand the applicable timelines for these procedures.

See Question H-1.
Return to School Roadmap

- Letter to State and Local Partners
  - Read the Letter to OSERS State and Local Partners

- Press Release
  - Read the Press Release

- Part B Child Find Q&A
  - Q&As document on Child Find Under Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act
Return to School Roadmap: Dear Partners Letter

▶ Emphasizes the importance of equitable access to high quality early intervention and special education and related services.

▶ Reiterates that, notwithstanding challenges of the pandemic, children with disabilities retain their rights to appropriate services under IDEA.

▶ Sets forth the expectation that all children will have the opportunity for full-time, in-person learning for the 2021-2022 school year. Reopening schools safely is of utmost importance and SEAs and LEAs should put in place layered prevention strategies in schools.
Reiterates that SEAs and State Lead Agencies (LAs) have a reasonable degree of flexibility in how, but not whether, they monitor LEAs and EIS programs and providers.

Notes that OSERS will monitor States’ implementation of IDEA, including their exercise of general supervisory, accountability, and oversight responsibilities.

Emphasizes the importance of SEA and LAs focusing general supervisory responsibilities on providing technical assistance and support to LEAs and EIS providers to (1) mitigate and address the impact of service disruptions; and (2) ensure full implementation of IDEA during the 2021-2022 year.
Pandemic-related challenges to child find:

- A number of children have not registered for school or have unenrolled from their schools.
- Many others received only virtual instruction.

SEAs and LEAs may need to evaluate whether current child find procedures are sufficiently robust to ensure appropriate referral and evaluation of children who may have a disability under IDEA.
Return to School Roadmap: Child Find

- Reviews general child find requirements and responsibilities. See Questions A-1 through A-4.

- Provides examples of child find activities. See Question A-5.

- Addresses use of multiple sources of Federal funds to support child find activities. See Question A-6.

- Clarifies requirements regarding who can refer a child, who can initiate a request for an evaluation of a child, and LEA’s response to a parent’s request for an IDEA evaluation of their child. See Questions B-1 and B-2.
Child find considerations related to the pandemic

- In general, child find is an SEA and LEA responsibility and LEAs should not rely solely on referrals by parents as the primary vehicle for meeting the child find requirement. See Question C-1.

- A child must not be determined to be a child with a disability if the determinant factor is due to a lack of appropriate instruction in reading or math. 34 C.F.R. § 300.306(b). See Question C-3.

- A child experiencing symptoms from long COVID must be referred for an initial evaluation if the child is suspected of having a disability under IDEA (e.g., other health impairment). See Question C-4.
Using IDEA Funds to Support Implementation

- ESSER/GEER funds: see question C-5 Frequently Asked Questions Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief Programs Governor’s Emergency Education Relief Programs.

- IDEA State-level funds in 34 C.F.R. § 300.704(b)(4).

- IDEA State-level funds in 34 C.F.R. § 300.814.